



Certification of a long-term sampling system for PCDFs and PCDDs in the flue gas from industrial facilities

Johannes Mayer ^{a,*}, Heinz Linnemann ^a, Ernst Becker ^b, Werner Rentschler ^b,
Wolfgang Jockel ^c, Peter Wilbring ^c, Bernhard Gerchel ^c

^a GfA-Gesellschaft für Arbeitsplatz- und Umweltanalytik mbH (GfA), D-48161 Münster Germany

^b bm becker meßtechnik GmbH (bm), D-71364 Winnenden Germany

^c TÜV Rheinland Sicherheit und Umweltschutz GmbH (TÜV), D-51105 Köln Germany

Abstract

AMESA (adsorption method for sampling of dioxins) is a fully automatic system for long term monitoring of dioxin emissions from industrial processes based on the adsorption method. The system has been tested and undergoing a certification procedure according to the German guidelines for certification of systems for continuous monitoring of special substances. The certification covered parameters such as disposability of the system, reproducibility of the results and comparability of the sampling method with German and European standard methods. Furthermore break through, blanks and sample storability were investigated. The results prove that AMESA is a state of the art sampling system for continuous monitoring of dioxin/furan emissions. © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In 1993 and 1994 the companies bm and GfA developed a system, called AMESA (adsorption method for sampling of dioxins), for long term supervision of dioxin emissions from industrial processes based on the adsorption method (Funcke et al., 1993a,b). The AMESA system has been tested in a first step at coal fired plants, steel plants and municipal and industrial waste incinerators with dioxin concentrations between $0.001 \text{ ng (I-TEQ)/m}^3$ and $>5 \text{ ng (I-TEQ)/m}^3$. Sampling periods were between 4 h and 4 weeks. A sketch of AMESA is shown in Fig. 1.

To reach the requirements of Section 6 of the 17th ordinance to the German Federal Ambient Air Control Law (17. Bundes-Immissionsschutz-Verordnung/17. BImSchV) (BMU, 1990), AMESA had to be tested according to the guidelines for certification of systems for

continuous monitoring of special substances (BMU, 1995).

- A Cooled (<math><50^\circ\text{C}</math>) titanium probe for isokinetic extraction of a volume stream.
- B Measurement stream and condensate are drawn through the cartridge filled with adsorber resin (quartz wool as a prefilter).
- C Drying of the measurement stream by cooling (<math><5^\circ\text{C}</math>).
- D Infinitely variable control of the isokinetic extraction. Control possible either by means of an analogue volume stream signal from the system or by means of a separate measuring instrument.
- E User-friendly operation of AMESA by menu dialogue in process controller. Data input for plant specific parameters and operation by means of keyboard and LCD monitor. Readout and printout of all data and parameters possible at any time. Analysis of the emission values by means of SRAM memory chip and analysis results.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: jmayer@gfa-ms.de (J. Mayer).




Fig. 1. Sketch of AMESA.




Fig. 2. Results of disposability and reproducibility test.




Fig. 3. Results of comparability test.

2. Experimental

The certification tests were carried out by the TÜV Rheinland Sicherheit und Umweltschutz GmbH, D-51105 Köln, in 1996/1997 (Wilbring and Gerchel, 1997). The whole certification procedure was divided in a laboratory test phase (approx. 3 months) in the TÜV-laboratory and a field test phase (approx. 6 months) at the MSWI in Amsterdam. Up to three identical AMESA systems were in use during the tests. The field test included the following subjects among others:

Subjects of Field Test (Selection)

Disposability of the system	Break through
Reproducibility	Blank values
Comparability with EN 1948	Storability of the samples

3. Results and discussion

The results of the certification tests for the following selected parameters are presented here: Disposability of the AMESA systems during the test period, reproducibility of the results, comparison of the results gained using AMESA with those received by German and European standard methods, potential break through from 4 week samples, blanks, storage periods of AMESA samples (Wilbring and Gerchel, 1997).

Reproducibility: The reproducibility $R_D = X / (S_D \times t_{95\%})$ was higher than required for samples lasting 16 h to 2 weeks and for long-term samples (Fig. 2).

Comparability: A very good agreement between measurements according to Adsorption Method and to EN 1948 (European Committee for Standardization, 1997) could be confirmed (Fig. 3).

Break through: Only 1 to 3% of PCDF/D (I-TEQ) was found in the condensate and the amount in a back up XAD-2 cartridge did not exceed 1.2% I-TEQ (Table 1).

Table 1
Results of break through test

	First cartridge I-TEQ (ng/sample)	Second cartridge I-TEQ (ng/sample)	Break through (%)
Sample 1	35.687	0.330	0.9
Sample 2	37.100	0.284	0.8
Sample 3	22.330	0.279	1.2
Sample 4	15.233	0.035	0.2
Sample 5	24.104	0.298	1.2
Sample 6	15.920	0.045	0.3
Sample 7	11.310	0.049	0.4
Sample 8	15.892	0.072	0.5
Sample 9	10.710	0.023	0.2

Table 2
Results of blank test

	Average Blank value (I-TEQ) (ng/cartridge)	Standard deviation (I-TEQ) (ng/cartridge)	Typical sample volume (m ³)	Concentration (I-TEQ) (ng/m ³)	Proportional blank value related to emission limit (%)
Short-term sample (6 h)	0.0078	0.0027	5	0.00157	1.6
Daily sample (24 h)	0.0078	0.0027	10	0.00078	0.8
1-Week sample	0.0078	0.0027	70	0.00011	0.1
2-Week sample	0.0078	0.0027	140	0.00006	0.1
4-Week sample	0.0078	0.0027	300	0.00003	<0.1




Fig. 4. Results of storability test (mean values of 5 test series).

Blank values: Blank values were between 0.0060 and 0.0122 ng (I-TEQ)/cartridge. This means a relative blank value of approx. 1.6% related to the EC 0.1 ng (I-TEQ)/m³ limit for 6 h samplings and max. 0.1% for samplings of 1 week and longer (Table 2).

Storage Periods: The PCDF/D amounts of the stored samples (3 months and 5 months) as well as the PCDF/D profiles were comparable with those from parallel samples which had been analyzed immediately after sampling had been finished (Fig. 4).

The discussed results prove that AMESA is a state of the art sampling system for dioxins in emissions. In February 1998 AMESA has been announced as capable for long-term monitoring of PCDF/D emissions from plants that underlie the regulations of the German 17th Bundes-Immissions-Schutz-Verordnung (17th BImSchV) (BMU, 1998). Using AMESA the EC threshold value of 0.1 ng (I-TEQ)/m³ e.g., for waste incinerating plants can be supervised quasi continuously within 12 PCDF/D samples per year. Exceeding the results from the certification tests AMESA has been running more than 4 yr in several plants, mostly waste incinerators, and has shown its potential to optimize the plant operation with respect to dioxin emissions.

References

- Bundesministerium für Umwelt, 1990. Naturschutz und Reaktorsicherheit (BMU), Bundesgesetzblatt, Teil I, Nr. 64, 2545–2553 (in German).
- Bundesministerium für Umwelt, 1995. Naturschutz und Reaktorsicherheit (BMU); Gemeinsames Ministerialblatt, 128 ff (in German).
- Bundesministerium für Umwelt, 1998. Naturschutz und Reaktorsicherheit (BMU); Gemeinsames Ministerialblatt, No. 1, 10 (in German).
- European Committee for Standardization, 1997. European Standard 1948-1 to 1948-3.
- Funcke, W., Linnemann, H., Bröker, G., Geueke, K.-J., 1993a. Probenahme von polychlorierten Dibenzofuranen (PCDF) und polychlorierten Dibenzodioxinen (PCDD) in Abgasen mit einem Adsorptionsverfahren, LIS report No. 109, Landesanstalt für Immissionsschutz Nordrhein-Westfalen, Essen.
- Funcke, W., Linnemann, H., Philipp, C., 1993b. Long-term sampling method for polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs) and dibenzo(p)dioxins (PCDDs) in flue gas of combustion facilities. Chemosphere 26, 2097–2101.
- Wilbring, P., Gerchel, B., 1997. Bericht über die modellhafte Eignungsprüfung der Langzeitprobenahmeinrichtung AMESA der Firmen Becker GmbH und Gesellschaft für Arbeitsplatz- und Umweltanalytik mbH, TÜV report 936/805017B, TÜV Rheinland Sicherheit und Umweltschutz GmbH, Köln.